

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,708	10/30/2003	Cyril Brignone	100203274-1	1932
22879 7590 07/21/2011 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Administration 3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35			CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			2453	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2011	EL ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com laura.m.clark@hp.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
* *			
10/698,708	BRIGNONE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
AZIZUL CHOUDHURY	2453		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- Exte	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed					
- If NO - Failu Any	SM (g) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.) period for reply is specified above. He maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SM (g) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), reply recovered by the Office Islant than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any educe any edipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b),					
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 March 2011</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmer	<u> </u>					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:						

Art Unit: 2453

Detailed Action

Reopening of Prosecution

In view of the appeal brief filed on March 24, 2011, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejections are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or.

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Krista M Zele/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2453.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2453

Claims 1-9 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because based upon consideration of all of the relevant factors with respect to the claim as a whole, claim(s) 1-9 and 22-26 are held to claim an abstract idea, and is/are therefore rejected as ineligible subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. The rationale for this finding is explained below:

Claims 1-9 and 22-26 are directed towards computer readable storage mediums having data structures stored thereon. While the claims cite within the preamble that the computer readable storage medium stores data structures, the office does not recognize such language to limit the computer readable storage medium to solely statutory forms. It would be beneficial instead to clarify the computer readable medium is "non-transitory" since, such a medium is deemed statutory (where supported by the specifications). As they currently stand though, the claims 20-37 are deemed non-statutory.

On the other hand, claims 10-21 are deemed statutory and are not subject to the 101 rejection. Claims 10-15 are directed towards a system that is positively tied to structure. This positive association deems the claims statutory. Claims 16-21 are directed towards a method which inherently would require a processor (structure) for proper operation. Structural inherency is applicable only to method type claims. Applicant may wish to review Federal Register, Volume 75, No. 143, July 27, 2010; particularly p. 43927, the section pertaining to "Factors Weighing Toward Eligibility" and "Factors Weighing Against Eligibility" for guidance, especially with regards to method claims.

Art Unit: 2453

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-5, 7, 12-13, 15, 18-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims feature the claim language "essentially". It is indefinite as to what amount properly constitutes "essentially".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/698,708

Art Unit: 2453

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Want et al (US Patent No: 6,122,520) in view of Philyaw (US Patent No: US 6,961,555), hereafter referred to as Want and Philyaw, respectively.

1. With regards to claims 1 and 22, Want teaches through Philyaw, a computer readable storage medium having a data structure disposed therein for providing information corresponding to a geographic location, said data structure comprising: a first data field for identifying said geographic location and positional data related to a physical location of said geographic location (see coordinate. column 2, lines 6-14 and column 3, lines 41-45, Want); and a second data field associated with said first data field for containing said information, said second field is comprising a uniform resource locator, wherein a user can access said information (see URL, column 2, lines 23-26, Want); wherein said first data field and said second data field are linked such that said data structure comprising said positional data and said uniform resource locator related to said physical location functions as a virtual beacon and is downloadable to a client device near said physical location such that said uniform resource locator is accessible by said user without browsing, wherein a physical location of said client device is not required to be transmitted (Want teaches the URL and coordinate information (see column 2, lines 23-26, Want) being transmitted via IR beacon (virtual beacon) to the client device; see column 6. line 54 - column 7, line 9. Want. Want does not teach the transmission of a physical location); said virtual beacon

Art Unit: 2453

selectively provides a portion of said information to said client device on said network, wherein said portion is based on a context relating to a user of said client device; and said context and said information is dynamically updated based on a condition relating to a temporal pertinence of said information

While Want describes the URL and coordinate information being stored within a unique URL, Want does not explicitly state the URL and geographic location being stored within a data structure. In the same field of endeavor, Philvaw also teaches location based data distribution; see column 2, lines 17-28, column 4, lines 20-25 and lines 47-51. Philvaw. Philvaw teaches within the disclosure a memory (data structure) containing a first code with URL information and a second code with geographic location information; see column 25, lines 30-48. Philyaw. In addition, Philyaw teaches delivering a webpage/advertising customized based on user profile information (selectively provide a portion of information based on user context); see at least column 23, lines 4-12. Philvaw. Furthermore Philyaw also teaches the webpage/advertising (information) provided to the user can be based on time (temporal pertinence); see column 14. lines 45-65 Philyaw. Finally, Philyaw explains how the benefit of the beacon transmitting information to a range of a location is that the users need not transmit their location information: see at least column 2. lines 3-7 and lines 17-28, Philyaw. The storage of geographical data and URL information within a data structure helps location based web services to provide more accurate information to users. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, during

Art Unit: 2453

the time of the invention, to have combined the teachings of Want with those of Philyaw to help provide location based web pages/advertisements; see column 2, lines 17-28, column 4, lines 47-51 and column 25, lines 54-67, Philyaw.

Page 7

- With regards to claim 2, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said context is subject to filtering and wherein said filtering functions to deter locating said user (see column 5, lines 58-67, Want).
- With regards to claims 3, 11 and 17, Want teaches through Philyaw, the
 computer readable storage medium wherein the receivability of said data
 structure to said client device is activated or deactivated in response to said
 condition (see column 24, lines 9-29, Philyaw).
- 4. With regards to claims 4, 12 and 18, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said condition comprises a quality selected from the group consisting essentially of time and a locational aspect of said client device (see column 2, lines 6-14, Want and column 14, lines 45-65 and column 25, lines 54-67, Philyaw).
- 5. With regards to claims 5, 13 and 19, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said locational aspect comprises a state selected from the group consisting essentially of directional orientation, tilt

Art Unit: 2453

orientation, residing within a specified area of coverage, motion through said specified area of coverage, and accessibility of said location to a position of said client device (see column 3, lines 41-45, Want).

Page 8

- 6. With regards to claims 6, 14 and 20, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said condition comprises a sequence of events occurring and wherein said area of coverage changes dynamically in response to said sequence of events (see column 7, lines 39-52, Want).
- 7. With regards to claims 7, 15 and 21, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said context comprises an attribute of said user, said attribute selected from the group consisting essentially of identity, profile, history, a preference, a credential, capability, an interest, and a privacy selection (see column 5, lines 58-67, Want).
- 8. With regards to claim 8, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said client device comprises a portable computing device and wherein said context is stored on said portable computing device (see column 2, lines 15-20, Want).

Art Unit: 2453

 With regards to claims 9 and 23, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said first data structure comprises latitude and a longitude (see column 2, lines 6-14, Want).

10. With regards to claim 10, Want teaches through Philyaw, a network based system for selectively providing a data structure to a client device, said data structure having a first data field for identifying a geographic location and positional data related to a physical location of said geographic location and a second data field associated with said first data field containing information corresponding to said location, said second field is comprising a uniform resource locator, comprising: a filter coupled to said network for accessing context stored at said client device and on the basis of said context determining that said data structure is pertinent to a user of said client device and wherein said filter functions to deter locating said user, wherein said context and said information is dynamically updated based on a condition relating to a temporal pertinence of said information, and wherein a physical location of said client device is not required to be transmitted (Want teaches the URL and coordinate information being read (filtered and accessed); see column 6, line 54 - column 7, line 9, Want. Want does not teach the sending of a physical location. See Philyaw below for temporal pertinence); a server coupled to said network for selectively furnishing said data structure to said client device on the basis of said determining, wherein said first data field and said second data field are linked

Art Unit: 2453

such that said data structure comprising said positional data and said uniform resource locator related to said physical location is downloaded to said client device when said client device is near said physical location such that said uniform resource locator is accessible without browsing (Want teaches the URL and coordinate information (see column 2, lines 23-26, Want) being transmitted via IR beacon to the client device; see column 6, line 54 - column 7, line 9, Want); and a database coupled to said server for storing a plurality of said data structures and providing said data structure to said server.

While Want describes the URL and coordinate information being stored within a unique URL, Want does not explicitly state the URL and geographic location being stored within a data structure. In the same field of endeavor, Philyaw also teaches location based data distribution; see column 2, lines 17-28, column 4, lines 20-25 and lines 47-51, Philyaw. Philyaw teaches within the disclosure a memory (data structure) containing a first code with URL information and a second code with geographic location information; see column 25, lines 30-48, Philyaw. In addition, Philyaw teaches delivering a webpage/advertising customized based on user profile information (selectively provide a portion of information based on user context); see at least column 23, lines 4-12, Philyaw. Furthermore Philyaw also teaches the webpage/advertising (information) provided to the user can be based on time (temporal pertinence); see column 14, lines 45-65 Philyaw. Finally, Philyaw explains how the benefit of the beacon transmitting information to a range of a location is that the users need not

Art Unit: 2453

transmit their location information; see at least column 2, lines 3-7 and lines 17-28, Philyaw. The storage of geographical data and URL information within a data structure helps location based web services to provide more accurate information to users. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, during the time of the invention, to have combined the teachings of Want with those of Philyaw to help provide location based web pages/advertisements; see column 2, lines 17-28, column 4, lines 47-51 and column 25, lines 54-67, Philyaw.

11. With regards to claim 16, Want teaches through Philyaw, a network based method for selectively providing a data structure, said data structure having a first data field for identifying a geographic location and positional data related to a physical location of said geographic location and a second data field associated with said first data field containing information corresponding to said location, said second field is comprising a uniform resource locator, to a client device, said method comprising: in response to a request from said client device, seeking context that characterizes a user of said client device (see column 4, lines 20-39, Want); in response to said seeking, filtering said context to deter locating said user (Want teaches the URL and coordinate information being read (filtered and accessed); see column 6, line 54—column 7, line 9, Want); upon said filtering, determining from said context that said data structure is pertinent to said user; in response to said determining, sending a portion of said data structure to said client device, wherein said portion is based on said context, wherein the first data

Art Unit: 2453

field and said second data field are linked such that said data structure comprising said positional data and said uniform resource locator related to said physical location is sent to said client device when said client device is near said physical location such that said uniform resource locator is accessible without browsing (Want teaches the URL and coordinate information (see column 2, lines 23-26, Want) being transmitted via IR beacon (virtual beacon) to the client device; see column 6, line 54 - column 7, line 9, Want); and dynamically updating said context and said portion of said data structure based on a condition relating to a temporal pertinence of said information and said portion of said data structure, wherein a physical location of said client device is not required to be transmitted (Want does not send the physical location)

While Want describes the URL and coordinate information being stored within a unique URL, Want does not explicitly state the URL and geographic location being stored within a data structure. In the same field of endeavor, Philyaw also teaches location based data distribution; see column 2, lines 17-28, column 4, lines 20-25 and lines 47-51, Philyaw. Philyaw teaches within the disclosure a memory (data structure) containing a first code with URL information and a second code with geographic location information; see column 25, lines 30-48, Philyaw. In addition, Philyaw teaches delivering a webpage/advertising customized based on user profile information (selectively provide a portion of information based on user context); see at least column 23, lines 4-12, Philyaw. Furthermore Philyaw also teaches the webpage/advertising (information)

Art Unit: 2453

provided to the user can be based on time (temporal pertinence); see column 14, lines 45-65 Philyaw. Finally, Philyaw explains how the benefit of the beacon transmitting information to a range of a location is that the users need not transmit their location information; see at least column 2, lines 3-7 and lines 17-28, Philyaw. The storage of geographical data and URL information within a data structure helps location based web services to provide more accurate information to users. Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, during the time of the invention, to have combined the teachings of Want with those of Philyaw to help provide location based web pages/advertisements; see column 2, lines 17-28, column 4, lines 47-51 and column 25, lines 54-67, Philyaw.

- 12. With regards to claim 24, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said first data structure comprises a plurality of fields wherein said fields identify said geographic location, wherein said absolute reference comprises a plurality of coordinate systems, and wherein each field of said plurality of fields is defined in a separate coordinate system of said plurality of coordinate systems (see column 5, lines 6-23, Want).
- 13. With regards to claim 25, Want teaches through Philyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said first data structure comprises a plurality of fields wherein said fields identify said geographic location, wherein said relative reference comprises a plurality of coordinate systems, and wherein each field of

Art Unit: 2453

said plurality of fields is defined in a separate coordinate system of said plurality of coordinate systems (see column 5, lines 6-23, Want).

- 14. With regards to claim 26, Want teaches through Philiyaw, the computer readable storage medium wherein said first data structure comprises a plurality of fields wherein said fields identify said geographic location, wherein each field of said plurality of fields is defined in a separate coordinate system of said plurality of coordinate systems, and wherein a first field of said plurality of fields is defined based on said absolute reference and a second field of said plurality of fields is defined based on said relative reference (see column 5, lines 6-23 and lines 58-67, Want).
- 15. The obviousness motivation applied to claims 1, 10, 16 and 22 are applicable towards their respective dependent claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 24, 2011 have been considered and are deemed persuasive. In lieu of the arguments, the finality of the last action has been withdrawn, a new search has been performed and the newly found Philyaw prior art has been applied. Philyaw explains how the benefit of the beacon transmitting information to a range of a location is that the users need not transmit their location information; see at

Art Unit: 2453

least column 2, lines 3-7 and lines 17-28, Philyaw. This information is used by the user device to navigate to a location-based website/advertisement.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AZIZUL CHOUDHURY whose telephone number is (571)272-3909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Krista Zele can be reached on (571) 272-7288. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2453

Page 16

Art Unit: 2453

/Krista M. Zele/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2453